

REMARKS

The comments of the applicant below are each preceded by related comments of the examiner (in small, bold type).

Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants have amended the claims to include the switch circuitry is powered by movement of the actuator in order to distinguish from the prior art. However, claim 42 states it is powered from a power source. Therefore, it is unclear as to the nature of the powering of the switch circuits.

Claims 42, 43, and 46 have been cancelled. Claims 44 and 45 have been amended to depend on claim 36. The applicant understands the other claims to not be indefinite.

All of the dependent claims are patentable for at least the reasons for which the claims on which they depend are patentable.

Canceled claims have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Any circumstance in which the applicant has (a) addressed certain comments of the examiner does not mean that the applicant concedes other comments of the examiner. (b) made arguments for the patentability of some claims does not mean that there are not other good reasons for patentability of those claims and other claims, or (c) amended or canceled a claim does not mean that the applicant concedes any of the examiner's positions with respect to that claim or other claims.

Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050, order 02103-381001.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 7-13-2007


Misha K. Hill
Reg. No. 59,737
Attorney for Application Owner

PTO No: 26162